

Metric (weight)	Why not universal	Skew toward	How can it be 'gamed'?	Signal for non-entrepreneur careers
% launched startups ≤ 3 months (15%)	Launch $\neq$ viability; visa limits affect intl students	Wealthy ecosystems, visa-supportive contexts	Loose "launched" definition; self-report	May crowd out prep for consulting/IB/PM
% entrepreneurship electives (15%)	Seat time $\neq$ ecosystem quality	Specialized curricula	Relabel electives	Fewer slots for finance/ops/analytics depth
Club participation (10%)	"Active" varies; quantity over depth	Big/cohort-wide clubs	Auto-enroll; low bar to count	Minimal impact; time trade-offs
Incubator space per grad (10%)	Input $\neq$ outcome; real-estate bias	Low-cost campuses	Count multiuse space	Opportunity cost vs other labs/centers
EIR per grad (10%)	Quality/engagement vary	Schools with many titles	Inflate titles	May reduce resources for other career coaching

Award money per grad (10%)	Prizes ≠ success	Donor-rich schools	Split micro-grants; count ineligible pools	Pitch culture over ops skills
% to VC/PE (5%)	Cyclical, network-driven	Finance-heavy pipelines	Reclassify corp VC/fellowships	Weakly related to outcomes in other sectors
% FT faculty teaching E-ship (5%)	Adjuncts/practitioners under-counted	Tenure-heavy programs	Token modules	May reduce depth in other disciplines
% to startup jobs (2.5%)	“Startup” ambiguous	Tech hubs	Count temp roles	Fewer placements in consulting/IB in some cycles
% taking e-ship elective (2.5%)	Curiosity ≠ intent/outcomes	Programs with mandatory “innovation”	Relabel; mandate	Less time for industry-specific electives
% core = e-ship (2.5%)	Narrower core than general-mgmt norms	Niche programs	Reframe modules	Broader roles may suffer from narrow core

% involved in startup project (2.5%)	Effort varies (hackathon vs build)	Event-heavy schools	Count short workshops	Resume bullet, not deep skill dev
Mentor hours per grad (2.5%)	Hours ≠ quality	Large volunteer networks	Log group time as hours	Pulls bandwidth from other coaching
Mentors per grad (2.5%)	Titles ≠ engagement	Advisory-board heavy	Count passive names	Same as above
% faculty in startups (2.5%)	“Involvement” broad	Research/industry-engaged schools	Loose definitions	Student access not guaranteed
Funding per grad (2.5%)	Input ≠ venture outcomes	Donor-rich schools	Double-count pools	Capital allocation trade-offs